Ŋ

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/672,908	09/28/2000	Yutaka Hasegawa	39303-20205.00	7896
25224 MORRISON &	7590 01/04/200 2 FOERSTER, LLP	EXAMINER		
555 WEST FIF	•		FLETCHER, MARLON T	
SUITE 3500 LOS ANGELE	S, CA 90013-1024		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		2837	
	····			
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MO	NTHS	01/04/2007	PAI	PER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/672,908	HASEGAWA ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Marlon T. Fletcher	2837			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
WHICH - Extensi after SI - If NO p - Failure Any rep	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE ions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 (X (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. eriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, by received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status			·			
1)⊠ F	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 Oc	ctober 2006				
•==		action is non-final.				
<u> </u>	<i>,</i> —					
•	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
	n of Claims	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-42 and 45-113</u> is/are pending in the application.						
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>37-40</u> is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>37-40</u> is/are allowed. 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-36,41,42 and 45-113</u> is/are rejected.						
·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	•				
·	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement				
	•	election requirement.				
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)∐ TI	he drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ acce	epted or b) \square objected to by the ${ t E}$	Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. 2 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 						
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). 						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s	s)		•			
1) Notice 2) Notice 3) Informa	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	nte			

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 1-36, 41, 42, and 45-113, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The above claims lack definite structural element and method steps for producing a final product or process of communication between the musical instrument and mobile telephone.

Also there are indefinite claims (eg. Claim 19) that use the word "can", wherein the element can provide a function. The term "can" is indefinite. Either the element does perform the function or it should not be recited as performing a function for defining the instrument.

3. Claims 1-36, 41, 42, and 45-92, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for omitting essential elements, such omission amounting to a gap between the elements. See MPEP § 2172.01. The omitted elements are: the elements needed to process the communication between the mobile telephone and musical instrument, wherein there lacks the ability to make and use the invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/672,908

Art Unit: 2837

4. Claims 93-113 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for omitting essential steps, such omission amounting to a gap between the steps. See MPEP § 2172.01. The omitted steps are: the steps need to combine elements to provide communication between the mobile telephone and the musical instrument, wherein steps lack for making or teaching how to make the invention.

Page 3

Allowable Subject Matter

- 5. Claims 37-40 are allowed.
- 6. Claims 1-36, 41, 42, and 45-113 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments, see remarks, filed 12/15/2005, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1-113, have been fully considered and are persuasive.

Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of 35 USC 112.

The broadly written claims still fail to define the invention in a way to make and use the invention, wherein there lacks structural elements and method steps. The claims involve the combination of an electronic instrument coupled with a mobile telephone. The claims are not defined in a manner to provide a definite product or

Art Unit: 2837

process. Claims 37-40 define the invention in more of a manner that one can make and use the invention. The applicant has amended the claims to attempt to better define the subject matter. While in some cases the subject matter regarding the word "can" has been amended, to be more definite, the term still appears throughout the claims (eg. Claim 19). Claim 19 has been amended to better define the body of the claims. However, the term is still found in the preamble. In most cases throughout the claims, the preamble can not be completely read into the body of the claims. The claims are written in a way that the electronic musical instrument is separated from the portable telephone. For example, in claim 1, the claim recites "An electronic musical instrument which treats music information ... and which can be coupled to a portable telephone communicable with a ...network." The term "treats" is indefinite and the term "can" is not a positive recitation. The body of the claims should define the invention. As mention in claim 1, the electronic musical instrument is mentioned in the preamble and it can be connected to a phone via a network, but it is not positively recited. The body of claim 1, recites "a main block that processes music information ... a coupling block ... coupling with the portable telephone ... The claim never provides a direct connection of the phone and the electronic instrument. Although, the amendment to claim 1, makes the claim better understandable, the claim can better written to describe what is being claim. After to reading it a couple of times, it becomes a little more clear, but based on 35 USC 112, the claim should be written in a clear concise manner so that one can make or use the invention. Claim 1 was randomly selected, but is more clear than

Art Unit: 2837

some of the other claims which are not connecting the two components which make up the invention. The rejection remains, but errors still appear through out the claims.

8. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Marlon T. Fletcher whose telephone number is 571-272-2063. The examiner can normally be reached on M-w, F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lincoln Donovan can be reached on 571-272-1988. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/672,908

Art Unit: 2837

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MTF 12/26/2006

Primary Examiner